

**Mental Health Task Force**  
**Recommendation 24 Stakeholder Committee**  
Central Office, Conference Room B

February 5, 2007

Members Present: Bernie Simons, Mahree Skala, Gene Barnes, Mike Hanrahan, Byron Koster, Jackie Swinnie, Les Wagner, Lois Warren, Wendy Witcig, Jennifer Wooldridge

Members Absent: Vim Horn, Cindy Mueller, Lorie Perdieu, Tracy Southard, George Woodward

Guests: Sterling Brown, Alecia Nissen, Jan Jones, Betsy Barnes, Wendy Hays, Greg Kramer, Mary Sullivan Thomas, Arron Haynes, Tec Chapman, Margy Mangini, Susan Pritchard-Green, Kay Green, Jeff Grosvenor, Nancy Schetzler

Topic	Discussion
<b>Introductions</b>	The members of the Stakeholder Committee introduced themselves.
<b>Setting Ground Rules</b>	<i>Handout—Ground Rules Discussion</i>  Mahree Skala read through the ground rules (guiding principles) that were suggested at the January 27 meeting. Everyone agreed with the principles.
<b>Desired Qualities of the System</b>	<i>Handout—Review of Desired Qualities of the System</i>  The committee reviewed the desired qualities and characteristics of the system of service to meet MRDD needs that were developed at the January 27 meeting. They explored the meaning of each item and refined the list which will serve as a core set of values that will form all of the committee's discussions.
<b>Discussion on Geographic Regions</b>	Rather than break into two workgroups to discuss the issues of geographic regions and the number of administrative agents, the committee discussed them as a group.  The committee identified three potential alternative ways to define geographic service regions: continue with the present 11 regions, tweak the boundaries of the present regions, or start over in defining regional boundaries.  After discussion, the committee recommended the current service regions be retained and that there should be a regional director in each of the 11 regions.

<b>Discussion on # of Administrative Agents</b>	<p>The committee discussed the diversity and types of potential administrative agents in different regions of the state. The Division should decide on the number of administrative agents needed in each region. There was discussion about what factors the decisions should be based upon.</p> <p>The committee also discussed various potential models for administrative agents including a SB 40 board serving as AA, a not for profit organization serving as AA, a SB 40 board contracting with a not for profit organization, and the regional center serving as a safety net during the transition to AA's.</p>
<b>Boone Co. ACSP Presentation</b>	<p><i>Handout—Revised Comparison of Proposals (ACSP column added)</i>  <i>Boone Co. Family Resources Annual Report</i></p> <p>Les Wagner gave an overview of the Affiliated Community Service Provider (ACSP) model which began in 1990 in Boone County. 9 CSR 25-2.005 outlines the criteria for an entity to become an ACSP and what services may be provided. Boone County also is qualified by Medicaid as an Organized Health Care Delivery System (OHCDS) to contract with non-Medicaid providers.</p>
<b>Next Meeting</b>	<p>The next meeting will be February 21 from 9:00-3:00 in Jefferson City at the Coca Cola Conference Room.</p>